
# Columbia Basin Collaborative Hydropower & Blocked Areas Work Group

October 4th, 2022

#### **Zoom Features**

- If you have not **connected your audio**, click on the "Join Audio" at the bottom left of your screen.
- To **switch to phone**, click the arrow next to the microphone icon and select "Switch to Phone Audio".
- If you have joined by browser, please click "Audio Settings"




#### **Zoom Features**


**Keep yourself on mute** when not speaking.

**Use video,** if possible, to promote face to face communication.

If needed **rename yourself** in the participant panel.

Find your **raise hand function** at the bottom of your screen










#### **Zoom Features**

#### Adjust view options







For technical support, please contact Colin Johnson (720) 734-2977

## Welcome, Meeting Guidelines, and Proposed Agenda

## Collaboration

Focus on your interests, not positions

**Positions** are a particular stance, "What I want"

Interests are the intangible motivation underlying your stance,

"Why I want what I want"



## Collaboration

#### Invent options for mutual gain

- Work for creative solutions
- Increase the size of the pie



## Collaboration

## Separate the people from the problem

- Put yourself in others' shoes
- Recognize and understand others and your own emotions
- Build a working relationship
- Be hard on the problem, soft on people!



## Meeting Guidelines

- Honor the agenda
- Listen to understand and ask questions to clarify
- Balance speaking time
- Don't pile on
- Be hard on the problems, soft on the people
- Seek alignment and common ground wherever possible
- Be present



## **Agenda Review**

Time (PT)	Topic
9:00 – 9:20 am	Welcome, Agenda Review, Updates, and Introductions
9:20 – 9:35 am	Overview and Context
9:35 – 9:45 am	Columbia Basin Partnership Data
9:45 – 10:20 am	Hydropower Blocked Areas Discussion of Resources and Gaps
10:20 – 10:30 am	Break
10:30 – 11:25 am	Hydropower Mainstem Discussion of Resources and Gaps
11:25 – 11:55 am	Work Plan and Next Steps
11:55 am – 12:00	Confirm Next Steps, Upcoming Meeting Topics, and Summary
pm	

#### Introductions

- Name
- Affiliation
- Hope to accomplish through the work group (in the Jamboard)

## Columbia Basin Collaborative Overview and Context

### **Columbia Basin Collaborative**

Columbia Basin
Partnership Task Force
Establish goals



4-State Agreement
Joint commitment to
collaboration and
actions to advance
goals

Columbia Basin
Collaborative
Vehicle for
collaboration, action
recommendations, and
implementation

**Outcomes** 

Achieve goals, durable framework for collaboration and communication

## **Columbia Basin Partnership Task Force**

**Focus:** Develop goals for salmon/steelhead stocks (broad-sense recovery including sustainable and harvestable)

**Scope:** Holistic, basin-wide perspective for historic range of anadromous fish.


**Process:** Inclusive; 3-yr collaboration among Columbia Basin sovereigns and stakeholders

**Outcome:** Consensus on a regional vision, and qualitative and quantitative goals for all 27 Columbia Basin stocks of salmon and steelhead.

NOAA Fisheries acceptance Oct 2020



#### A regional approach to achieving the Columbia Basin Partnership goals



### Integration/Recommendations Group Membership

Tribe
Burns Paiute Tribe
Coeur d'Alene Tribe
Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation
Confederated Tribes of the Grand Ronde
Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation
Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs
Cowlitz Indian Tribe
Fort McDermitt Paiute and Shoshone Tribe
Nez Perce Tribe
Shoshone-Paiute Tribes
Spokane Tribe of Indians
Yakama Nation

Federal entity	States	
NOAA National Marine Fisheries	State of Idaho	
Fodoral action agancies, DDA Army Corns, and/or Duragu	State of Montana	
Federal action agencies: BPA, Army Corps, and/or Bureau of Reclamation	State of Oregon	
Columbia Basin Federal Caucus	State of Washington	

Sector	Primary Representative	Alternate Representative		
Utilities	Seattle City Light	Western Montana G&T		
Utilities	Benton PUD	Idaho Consumer-Owned Utilities Association		
Non-tribal fisheries	Coastal Trollers Association	Commercial Salmon Fisherman		
Non-tribal fisheries	Northwest Sportfishing Industry Association	Idaho Wildlife Federation		
River Economies	Idaho Water Users	Kittitas Reclamation District		
River Economies	Port of Lewiston	Wheat Farmer		
Conservation	Salmon Safe	American Rivers		
Conservation	Trout Unlimited	Northwest Energy Coalition		



## Work Groups

- Estuary/Tributary Habitat
- Hatcheries/Harvest
- Hydropower Mainstem and Blocked Areas
- Predation
- Science Integration Work Group

## Purpose of Work Groups

- Develop draft recommendations for actions, and assist the I/RG in feasibility assessments of those actions
- Work collaboratively to clarify and assess subjectspecific issues and potential actions and solutions
- Leverage existing data and studies to support their assessments
- Coordinate and collaborate across other Work Groups for complementary analyses and solutions

#### Hydropower Mainstem & Blocked Areas Work Group

- Aberdeen-Springfield Canal Company
- AgriNorthwest
- Army Corps of Engineers
- Bonneville Power Association
- Burns Paiute Tribe
- Orca Conservancy
- Colville Tribes
- Confederated Tribes of Grand Ronde
- Columbia River Inter-Tribal Fish Commission
- Columbia River Research Laboratory Western Fisheries Research Center
- Douglas County Public Utility District
- Fort McDermitt Paiute and Shoshone/Upper Snake River Tribes
- Idaho Conservation League
- Idaho Outfitters and Guides Association
- Idaho Power Company
- Idaho Water Users
- Idaho Wildlife Federation
- Idaho Fish & Game
- Shaver Transportation
- Kalispel Tribe of Indians
- Kootenai Valley Reclamation Association
- Lake District

- Lower Columbia Fish Recovery Board
- McGregor Company
- Nez Perce Tribe
- National Marine Fisheries Service
- Northwest Sportfishing Industry Association
- Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
- Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen's Associations
- Pacific Northwest Waterways Association
- Port of Clarkston
- Port of Lewiston
- Quincy-Columbia Basin Irrigation District, Lakes Commission
- Rigby, Andrus & Rigby Law, PLLC
- Spokane Tribe of Indians
- Temco
- Tidewater Transportation and Terminals
- Trout Unlimited
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service
- Umatilla Tribes
- Upper Columbia Salmon Recovery Board
- United States Bureau of Reclamation
- United States Geological Survey
- Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
- Yakama Nation Fisheries

## Columbia Basin Partnership Data

## Compiled Impacts by Stock

				Abundance		MAFAC Phase II Impact Priority							
Sub- Region	Stock	Status	Current	MAFAC Medium goal	Current as % of Medium Goal	Tributary Habitat	Estuary Habitat	Hydro (Mainstem)	Hydro (Latent)	Hydro (Blocked)	Predation	Harvest	Hatchery
Low-C	L Col R Spring Chinook	Threatened	2,240	21,550	10%	1	3	3	3	2	3	3	2
Low-C	L Col R Winter Steelhead	Threatened	5,989	27,900	21%	1	2	3	3	3	3	3	3
Low-C	L Col R Fall (tule) Chinook	Threatened	12,329	54,100	23%	1	2	3	3	3	3	1	2
Low-C	L Col R Coho	Threatened	31,524	129,550	24%	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	2
Low-C	L Col R Summer Steelhead	Threatened	10,594	29,800	36%	2	4	4	4	2	4	4	4
Low-C	Col R Chum	Threatened	11,762	33,000	36%	2	2	4	4	4	4	4	4
Low-C	SW WA Winter Steelhead	Threatened	3,252	5,850	56%	2	4	5	5	5	5	5	5
Low-C	L Col R Late Fall (bright) Chinook		10,800	16,700	65%								
Low-C	L Col R Fall (bright) Chinook	Threatened	11,000	11,000	100%	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	5
Mid-C	M Col Sockeye	Not Listed	1,036	45,000	2%	3	3	3	2	1	3	3	
Mid-C	M Col R Spring Chinook	Not Listed	11,600	40,425	29%	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Mid-C	M Col R Summer Steelhead	Threatened	18,155	43,850	41%	2	4	4	4	4	2	4	4
Mid-C	M Col R Coho	Not Listed	6,324	11,600	55%		5	4	5	5	5	4	
Mid-C	M Col R Summer/Fall Chinook	Not Listed	11,500	13,000	88%	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5
Up-C	U Col R Coho	Not Listed	392	15,000	3%								
Up-C	U Col R Summer Steelhead	Threatened	1480	31,000	5%	1	1	2	1	1	1	3	2
Up-C	U Col R Sockeye	Not Listed	40,850	580,000	7%	1	3	1	1	1	2	3	3
Up-C	U Col R Spring Chinook	Endangered	1430	19,840	7%	1	3	1	1	1	2	3	1
Up-C	U Col R Summer Chinook	Not Listed	16920	78,350	22%	1	2	1	1	1	3	1	2
Up-C	U Col R Fall Chinook	Not Listed	92,400	62,215	149%	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	5
Snake	Snake R Coho	Not Listed	100	26,600	0%								
Snake	Snake R Sockeye	Endangered	100	15,750	1%	3	3	1	1	1	2	3	
Snake	Snake R Spring/Summer Chinook	Threatened	6,988	98,750	7%	1	3	1	1	2	2	3	3
Snake	Snake R Summer Steelhead	Threatened	28,000	75,000	37%	2	4	4	2	2	2	4	4
Snake	Snake R Fall Chinook	Threatened	8,360	10,780	78%	5	5	4	4	4	5	4	
Willam	U Will R Spring Chinook	Threatened	4,278	47,850	9%	1	2	3	3	1	3	3	2
Willam	U Will R Winter Steelhead	Threatened	2,816	27,805	10%	1	2	3	3	3	1	3	3

### **Biological Matrices - Methods**

	$\wedge$			$\wedge$				URE 13. Heat map of imp propriate. Units are perce								
								Stock	Tributary Habitat	Estuary Habitat	Hydro (mainstem)	Hydro (latent)	Hydro (blocked)	Predation	Fishery	Hatchery
TABLE 8. Aggregate stock-specific a conditions, and low, medium, and hi			al-origin esca <sub>l</sub>	pe nent und a	current and h	iistorical		Spr Chinook	85	17	0	0 (0-0)	30	14	17	29 (4-54)
				<b>/</b> \		High as		Fall (tule) Chinook	70	21	0	0 (0-0)	15	11	33	25 (3-47)
Stock	Current	Historical	Low goal	Med goal	High goal	% of historical	_	Fall (bright) Chinook	10	21	0	0 (0-0)	40	11	47	0 (0-0)
L Col R Spring Chinook	2,240	101,700	9,800	21,550	33,300	33%	Columbia	Chum	95	50	5	0 (0-0	0	2	1	10 (1-18)
L Col R Fall (tule) Chinook	12,329	169,700	28,050	54,100	82,000	48%	20					•				
L Col R Late Fall (bright) Chinook	10,800	33,000	11,100	16,700	22,200	67%	Lower	Coho	80	11	0	0 (0-0)	5	13	17	22 (3-42)
L Col R Fall (bright) Chinook	11,000	0	11,000	11,000	11,000	-	-	Sumr Steelhead	65	28	4	0 (0-0)	40	19	5	8 (1-15)
L Col R Coho	31,524	301,900	67,925	129,550	191,400	63%		Win Steelhead SWW	60	28	0	0 (0-0)	0	19	5	17 (2-33)
Col R Chum	11,762	461,300	16,500	33,000	49,500	11%		Win Steelhead LCR	65	28	0	0 (0-0)	10	19	5	9 (1-16)
SW WA Winter Steelhead	3,252	19,100	4,650	5,850	6,950	36%		WIII Steelileau LCR				. ,				
L Col R Winter Steelhead	5,989	41,900	19,000	27,900	36,400	87%	Willamette	Spr Chinook	85	20	0	0 (0 0)	50	19	13	25 (3-46)
L Col R Summer Steelhead	10,594	61,200	21,100	29,800	38,100	62%	Willa	Win Steelhead	80	28	0	0 (0 0)	20	32	3	2 (0-4)
M Col R Spring Chinook	11,600	246	7,750	40,425	114,500	4		Spr Chinook	85	17	23	14 (3-15)	25	25	15	24
M Col R Summer/Fall Chinook	11,500	17,000	4,000	13,000	16,000	94%	e e	· ·								
M Col R Coho	6,324	75,000	5,300	11,600	19,900	27%	Columbia	Fall Chinook	20	27	13	9 (2- 7)	5	10	55	0 (0-0)
M Col Sockeye	1,036	230,000	7,500	45,000	107,500	47%	3	Coho	NA	11	30	19 (5-3)	0	17	22	NA
M Col R Summer Steelhead	18,155	132,800	21,500	43,850	69,150	52%	Middle	Sockeye	0	17	19	9 (2- 7)	95	8	3	NA
U Col R Spring Chinook	1,430	259,450	11,500	19,840	30,135	12%						. ,			_	
U Col R Summer Chinook	16,920	733,500	9,000	78,350	131,300	18%		Sumr Steelhead	80	28	11	14 (3-25)	20	33	10	17 (2-33)
U Col R Fall Chinook	92,400	680,000	9,200	62,215	87,835	13%		Spr Chinook	45	18	49	38 (9-67)	75	29	15	32 (5-59)
U Col R Coho	392	44,500	7,500	15,000	26,000	58%	bia	Summer Chinook	50	27	49	38 (9-67)	50	13	61	27 (4-51)
U Col R Sockeye	79,511	,800,000	31,500	580,000	1,235,000	69%	Columbia	Fall Chinook	25	27	65	19 (5-33)	5	13	61	10 (1-18)
U Col R Summer Steelhead	1,480	1,121,400	7,500	31,000	47,000	4%	Upper C	Fall Cillioux				, ,	_			• ' '
Snake R Spring/Summer Chinook	6,988	1,000,000	33,500	98,750	159,500	16%	3	Sockeye	50	17	38	38 (9-67)	80	24	12	10 (1-18)
Snake R Fall Chinook	8,360	500,000	4,200	10,780	23,360	5%		Sumr Steelhead	40	31	30	38 (9-67)	95	52	10	24 (3-45)
Snake R Coho	100	200,000	8,900	26,600	44,100	22%		Spr Chinook	50	16	39	38 (9-61)	30	29	14	15 (2-28)
Snake R Sockeye	100	84,000	5,500	15,750	26,000	31%		<u> </u>				,				
Snake R Summer Steelhead	28,000	600,000	22,500	75,000	131,500	22%	Snake	Fall Chinook	25	27	62	38 (9-67	80	13	45	NA
U Will R Spring Chinook	4,278	312,170	28,900	47,850	66,800	21%	\sigma	Sockeye	10	17	47	38 (9-67)	70	24	6	NA
U Will R Winter Steelhead	2,816	220,000	16,290	27,805	39,320	18%	-1	Sumr Steelhead	45	27	30	38 (9-67)	40	43	25	24 (3-45)
Totals	352,119	9,446,120	441,165	1,572,266	2,845,750	30%		50/			01-00	01		201		500/
	\ /			\ /				<5%	5-20%		21-30	%	31-	J%	>	50%

#### Hydropower Blocked Areas

		Impact Level										
		Low	Medium	High	Very High							
	Low	LC Tule FCH LC Coho LC <u>WSthd</u>	LC SpCH Will WSthd SN SpCH	Will <u>SpCH</u> UC Sum CH	MC Sock UC SpCH UC Sock UC Sock UC Sum Sthd SN Sock	Impact Level Low: less than 20% Medium: 20-30% High: 31-50% Very High: Greater than 50%  Stock Status (based						
( S	Medium	LC Chum	MC SpCH MC Sum Sthd	LC Sum Sthd SN Sum Sthd		on CBP medium goal) Low: less than 25% Medium: 25-50% High: 51-75%						
	High	SWW WSthd MC Coho				Very High: greater than 75% Prioritization Status						
	Very High	MC FCH UC FCH		LC Bright FCH	SN FCH	Red: Priority 1 Orange: Priority 2 Yellow: Priority 3 Blue: Back burner Green: Good shape						

NA: LC Late BFCH, UC Coho, SN Coho

### Hydro-Blocked Areas

			impac		.,	
		Low	Medium	High	Very High	
	Low	LC Tule FCH LC Coho LC WSthd	LC SpCH Will WSthd SN SpCH	Will SpCH UC Sum CH	MC Sock UC SpCH UC Sock UC Sum Sthd SN Sock	Impact Level Low: less than 20% Medium: 20-30% High: 31-50% Very High: Greater than 50%
						Stock Status (based on CBP medium
Stock Status	Medium	LC Chum	MC SpCH MC Sum Sthd	LC Sum Sthd SN Sum Sthd		goal) Low: less than 25% Medium: 25-50% High: 51-75%
	High	SWW WSthd MC Coho				Very High: greater than 75%  Prioritization Status
	Very High	MC FCH UC FCH		LC Bright FCH	SN FCH	Red: Priority 1 Orange: Priority 2 Yellow: Priority 3 Blue: Priority 4 Green: Priority 5

Impact Level

NA: LC Late BFCH, UC Coho, SN Coho

### Hydro-Mainstem (without latent mortality)

	Low	Medium	High	Very High	
Low	LC SpCH LC Tule FCH LC Coho LC WSthd Will SpCH Will Sthd MC Sock	UC SumSthd	UC SpCH UC Sum CH UC Sock SN SpCH	SN Sock	Impact Level Low: less than 20% Medium: 20-30% High: 31-50% Very High: Greater than 50%
Medium	LC Chum LC Sum Sthd MC Sum Sthd	MC SpCH SN Sum Sthd			Stock Status (based on CBP medium goal) Low: less than 25% Medium: 25-50%
High	SWW WSthd	MC Coho			High: 51-75% Very High: greater than 75%
Very High	LC Bright FCH	MC FCH	SN FCH	UC FCH	Prioritization Status Red: Priority 1 Orange: Priority 2 Yellow: Priority 3 Blue: Priority 4 Green: Priority 5

NA: LC Late BFCH, UC Coho, SN Coho

Stock Status

## Hydro-Mainstem (with latent mortality)


			Impact I	_evel		-
		Low	Medium	High	Very High	
	Low	LC SpCH LC Tule FCH LC Coho LC WSthd Will SpCH Will WSthd	MC Sock		UC SpCH UC Sum CH UC Sock UC Sum Sthd SN SpCH SN Sock	Impact Level Low: less than 20% Medium: 20-30% High: 31-50% Very High: Greater than 50%  Stock Status (based on
Stock Status	Medium	LC Chum LC Sum Sthd	MC Sum Sthd MC SpCH		SN Sum Sthd	CBP medium goal) Low: less than 25% Medium: 25-50% High: 51-75% Very High: greater than 75%
	High	SWW WSthd	MC Coho			Prioritization Status Red: Priority 1 Orange: Priority 2
	Very High	LC Bright FCH		MC FCH	UC FCH SN FCH	Yellow: Priority 3 Blue: Priority 4 Green: Priority 5

Action Type		Steps	Description	Status/Schedule	Responsible Group	Deliverable
CBPTF Technical Planning	1)	Define Fish Goals	ESTABLISH GOALS Identify current status and L, M     H goals by species and by sub-region based on     historic data and available habitat	Completed in 2019 as part of CBPTF Ph I	Developed by CBPTF consultant and sub-region tech teams and agreed upon by Task Force members	CBPTF Phase 1 Report
J	2)	Define Current Fish Mortalities	IDENTIFY FISH LOSSES Quantify anthropogenic fish mortality factors throughout life history by species and by sub-region (summarized on "heat map")	Completed in 2020 as part of CBPTF Ph II	Developed by CBPTF consultant and sub-region tech teams and agreed upon by Task Force members	CBPTF Phase 2 Report
	3)	Develop Salmon Analyzer Predictive Model	CONSTRUCT "SLIDER" MODEL Develop model with variable restoration components and levels to predict fish restoration action responses and level of goal achievement by species	Completed in 2020 as part of CBPTF Ph II	Developed by CBPTF consultant and sub-region tech teams and agreed upon by Task Force members	Salmon Analyzer Predictive Model
+	4)	Confirm science-based approach for working groups	CONFIRM BIOLOGICAL FOUNDATION Review and confirm matrices that use the data from the CBPTF to serve as the foundation of the working groups	April 2022- June 2022	Biological Sub-group	- Biological Matrices - Approach for TSWGs
CBC Technical Planning	5)	Identify Needs for: - Tributary Habitat - Mainstem Hydro - Blocked Areas - Estuary Habitat - Predation - Hatcheries - Harvest - Integration across threat categories	IDENTIFY ACTIONS/PROJECTS BY TOPIC  - Using CBPTF tools and data, identify priority restoration actions/programs that address impact reduction need for each respective mortality factor and collaborate with existing forums (for example, regional recovery organizations) and the IRG as needed  - Consider recommendations, actions, and shovel-ready projects from existing forums (for example the CBPTF P2 report)  - Consider actions that benefit multiple stocks and regions/watershed populations  - Estimate mortality magnitude, source, and location	Ongoing starting July 2022	Topic Specific work groups	List of actions to address needs
			- Acknowledging tribal and treaty rights and legal constraints			
			IDENTIFY ACTIONS/PROJECTS INTEGRATED PACKAGES Using CBPTF tools and data as well as additional information to look across threat categories to identify cross-cutting actions to achieve L/M/H	Ongoing starting July 2022	Science Integration work group	List of actions to address needs

## Hydropower Blocked Areas Discussion of Resources and Gaps


- What existing forums or efforts are currently operating?
- What are the gaps in efforts, resources, and understanding?







Break
10 minutes







## Hydropower Mainstem Discussion of Resources and Gaps

- What existing forums or efforts are currently operating?
- What are the gaps in efforts, resources, and understanding?

## Thank you ~

